

anthropological Watergate. Students should be clearly presented with the discredited missing link record of the past seventy years and be made aware of the lack of present evidence. Let them draw their own conclusions about their roots. Richard Leakey presents the following comments on the objectivity of his science: "When considering our origins it is clear that we have often been less than objective." He then continues:

Science is often seen as a search for answers that, given sufficient time, must surely be forthcoming, but because of the nature of the evidence -- or rather, lack of it -- this may not be the case in paleoanthropology. David Pilbeam concludes, "It's my conviction that there may be many aspects of human evolution that will always elude us. We should be straightforward and honest about that. So far the spotlight has always swung to those who appear to come up with answers. It's salutary to consider that perhaps in the future the prizes should go to those people who are able to differentiate between those questions that have answers and those that do not."<sup>19</sup>