

kind of constructive conflict needs to be encouraged. Below are several suggestions for determining whether the conflict is truly constructive.

1. Are the opposing view points honest attempts to solve problems or achieve goals for the glory of God? Or are these opposing views reflective of personal ambition on the part of one or the other or both of the proponents? When personal ambition is a factor, the conflict is almost surely to be destructive.

2. Is there willingness to hear and discuss all sides of the issue? This requires the parties involved to try to look at the matter objectively and thoroughly.

3. Is there a willingness to compromise when such compromise is in the interests of progress in doing the will of God?

4. In the case of a stalemate, is there willingness to submit to the decision of the body, or when deadlocked, to the decision of the leader of the group? Many ministerial teams insist on a unanimous vote on a controversial issue before it is adopted as policy. This is good practice, but it usually requires that some submit to the majority in rendering their vote.

5. Is there the attitude that when the final decision is made that opposition will end and the plan adopted be fully supported?

This would include obedience to Eph. 4:26, "In your anger do not sin. Do not let the sun go down while you are still angry." Creative people can get worked up over an issue and feel very strongly about their ideas. It is possible to do this without destroying others. The way to accomplish this is to direct the energy toward the problem and refrain from attacking persons.